Bifurcation of Limit Cycles via Averaging Theory
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Abstract: We study the bifurcation of limit cycles from the periodic orbits of a four-dimensional center in a class of piecewise linear differential systems. Our main result shows that three is an upper bound for the number of limit cycles that bifurcate from a center, up to first order expansion of the displacement function. Moreover, this upper bound is reached. The main technique used is the Averaging Method.
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1 Introduction and statement of the main result

In the qualitative theory of differential equations the study of their limit cycles became one of the main topics. For a given differential equation $E$ a limit cycle is a periodic orbit of $E$ isolated in the set of all periodic orbits of $E$.

Many questions arise on the limit cycles of the planar differential equations. Two main lines of research for such equations are, first the 16th Hilbert problem, see for instance [4, 5], and second the study of how many limit cycles emerge from the periodic orbits of a centre when we perturb it inside a given class of differential equations, see, for example, the book [3] and the references there in. More precisely, the problem of considering the planar linear differential centre

$$\dot{x} = -y, \quad \dot{y} = x$$

and perturbing it

$$\dot{x} = -y + \varepsilon P(x, y), \quad \dot{y} = x + \varepsilon Q(x, y),$$

inside a given class of polynomial differential equations and studying the limit cycles bifurcating from the periodic orbits of the linear centre has attracted the interest and the research of many mathematicians. Of course, $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter. Here our main concerning is to bring this problem to higher dimension when the perturbation is piecewise linear.

The purpose of this paper is to study the existence of limit cycles of the 4-dimensional system

$$x' = A_0 x + \varepsilon F(x);$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

for $|\varepsilon| \neq 0$ a sufficiently small real parameter, where

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

and $F : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$F(x) = Ax + \varphi(k^T x)b,$$
Remark 1. For every \( z \in H \) such that 
\[
\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{for } x \in (-\infty, 1), \\
mx, & \text{for } x \in [1, \infty).
\end{cases}
\]

For \( \varepsilon = 0 \) system (1) becomes 
\[
x'_1 = -x_2, \quad x'_2 = x_1, \quad x'_3 = -x_4, \quad x'_4 = x_3.
\] (3)

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** Three is the upper bound for the number of limit cycles of system (1) which bifurcate from the periodic orbits of system (3), up to first order expansion of the displacement function of (1) with respect to the small parameter \( \varepsilon \). Moreover, there are systems (1) having three limit cycles.

## 2 Averaging Theory

The aim of this section is to present the first order averaging method as it was obtained in Buică & Llibre [2]. Differentiability of the vector field is not needed. The specific conditions for the existence of a simple isolated zero of the averaged function are given in terms of the Brouwer degree. In fact, the Brouwer degree theory is the key point in the proof of this theorem. We remind here that continuity of some finite dimensional function is a sufficient condition for the existence of its Brouwer degree (see [6] for precise definitions).

**Theorem 2.** We consider the following differential system
\[
x'(t) = \varepsilon H(t, x) + \varepsilon^2 R(t, x, \varepsilon),
\] (4)
where \( H : \mathbb{R} \times D \to \mathbb{R}^n \), \( R : \mathbb{R} \times D \times (-\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_f) \to \mathbb{R}^n \) are continuous functions, \( T \)-periodic in the first variable, and \( D \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^n \). We define \( h : D \times \mathbb{R}^n \) as
\[
h(z) = \int_0^T H(s, z) ds,
\] (5)
and assume that:
(i) \( H \) and \( R \) are locally Lipschitz with respect to \( x \);
(ii) for \( a \in D \) with \( h(a) = 0 \), there exists a neighborhood \( V \) of \( a \) such that \( h(z) \neq 0 \) for all \( z \in V \setminus \{a\} \) and \( d_B(h, V, 0) \neq 0 \).

Then, for \( |\varepsilon| > 0 \) sufficiently small, there exists an isolated \( T \)-periodic solution \( \varphi(\cdot, \varepsilon) \) of system (4) such that \( \varphi(\cdot, \varepsilon) \to a \) as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \).

Here we will need some facts from the proof of Theorem 2. Hypothesis (i) assures the existence and uniqueness of the solution of each initial value problem on the interval \([0, T]\). Hence, for each \( z \in D \), it is possible to denote by \( x(\cdot, z, \varepsilon) \) the solution of (4) with the initial value \( x(0, z, \varepsilon) = z \). We consider also the function \( \zeta : D \times (-\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_f) \to \mathbb{R}^n \) defined by
\[
\zeta(z, \varepsilon) = \int_0^T |\varepsilon H(t, x(t, z, \varepsilon)) + \varepsilon^2 R(t, x(t, z, \varepsilon), \varepsilon)| dt.
\] (6)
From the proof of Theorem 2 we extract the following facts.

**Remark 1.** For every \( z \in D \) the following relation holds
\[
x(T, z, \varepsilon) - x(0, z, \varepsilon) = \zeta(z, \varepsilon).
\]

The function \( \zeta \) can be written in the form
\[
\zeta(z, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon h(z) + \varepsilon^2 O(1),
\]
where \( h \) is given by (5) and the symbol \( O(1) \) denotes a bounded function on every compact subset of \( D \times (-\varepsilon_f, \varepsilon_f) \). Moreover, for \( |\varepsilon| \) sufficiently small, \( z = \varphi(0, \varepsilon) \) is an isolated zero of \( \zeta(\cdot, \varepsilon) \).
For concrete systems there is the possibility that the function $\zeta$ is not globally differentiable, but the function $h$ is. In fact, only differentiability in some neighborhood of a fixed isolated zero of $h$ could be enough. When this is the case, one can use the following remark in order to verify the hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 2.

**Remark 2.** Let $h: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^1$ function, with $h(a) = 0$, where $D$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $a \in D$. Whenever $a$ is a simple zero of $h$ (i.e. $Jh(a) \neq 0$), there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $a$ such that $h(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in V \setminus \{a\}$. Then $d_B(h, V, 0) \in \{-1, 1\}$.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

**Lemma 3.** By a linear change of variables, and eventually a permutation of the variables, system (1) can be transformed in the system

$$x' = A_0 x + \varepsilon \overline{A} x + \varepsilon \varphi(x_1) \overline{b},$$

where $\overline{A} = (a_{ij})$ and $\overline{b} = (b_i)$.

**Proof.** A linear change of coordinates $x = Jy$, with $J$ invertible, transforms system (1) in

$$\dot{y} = J^{-1} A_0 J y + \varepsilon J^{-1} A J y + \varepsilon \varphi(k^T J y) J^{-1} b.$$

It is easy find $J$ invertible that satisfies $J^{-1} A_0 J = A_0$ and $k^T J = \varepsilon I$.

In order to apply the averaging method, we put the system in a more suitable form. It is easy to see that the change of variables $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ to $(\theta, r, \rho, s)$ by

$$x_1 = r \cos \theta, \quad x_2 = r \sin \theta, \quad x_3 = \rho \cos(\theta + s), \quad x_4 = \rho \sin(\theta + s),$$

transforms system (7) into a system of the form

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{d\theta}{d\tau} &= \varepsilon H_1(\theta, r, \rho, s) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{O}(1) \\
\frac{dr}{d\tau} &= \varepsilon H_2(\theta, r, \rho, s) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{O}(1) \\
\frac{d\rho}{d\tau} &= \varepsilon H_3(\theta, r, \rho, s) + \varepsilon^2 \mathcal{O}(1)
\end{align*}$$

where

$$H_1 = \cos\theta [b_1 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{11} r \cos \theta + a_{12} r \sin \theta + a_{13} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{14} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] + \sin\theta [b_2 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{21} r \cos \theta + a_{22} r \sin \theta + a_{23} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{24} \rho \sin(\theta + s)]$$

$$H_2 = \cos(\theta + s) [b_3 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{31} r \cos \theta + a_{32} r \sin \theta + a_{33} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{34} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] + \sin(\theta + s) [b_4 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{41} r \cos \theta + a_{42} r \sin \theta + a_{43} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{44} \rho \sin(\theta + s)]$$

$$H_3 = (1/\rho) \left\{ \sin(\theta + s) [b_1 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{11} r \cos \theta + a_{12} r \sin \theta + a_{13} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{14} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] + \cos(\theta + s) [b_2 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{21} r \cos \theta + a_{22} r \sin \theta + a_{23} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{24} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] \right\} - (1/\rho) \left\{ \sin(\theta + s) [b_3 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{31} r \cos \theta + a_{32} r \sin \theta + a_{33} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{34} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] + \cos(\theta + s) [b_4 \varphi(r \cos \theta) + a_{41} r \cos \theta + a_{42} r \sin \theta + a_{43} \rho \cos(\theta + s) + a_{44} \rho \sin(\theta + s)] \right\}$$

Next step is apply Theorem 2 obtaining $h: D_n \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $h = (h_1, h_2, h_3)$ where

$$h_i(r, \rho, s) = \int_0^{2\pi} H_i(\theta, r, \rho, s) d\theta.$$
where \( c_i \) are constants that depend linearly on \( a_{ij} \) and

\[
I_1(r) = \begin{cases} 
-m\frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1}}{r} + m\text{ArcTan}(\sqrt{r^2 - 1}), & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\
0, & \text{if } 0 < r < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Next lemma will be useful in the sequel of the proof.

**Lemma 4.** The equation \( I_1(r) = cr \) is such that

1. If \( c < m \) or \( c > \frac{\pi}{2} \) then the equation does not have solution.
2. If \( c = 0 \) then the interval \([0, 1]\) is a continuous of solutions.
3. If \( 0 < c < \frac{\pi}{2} \) then there is an unique solution \( r^* > 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( c = 0 \) then it is easy to see that all \( r \in (0, 1) \) is solution. For \( c \neq 0 \) we consider the change of variables \( u = \sqrt{r^2 - 1} \) and we obtain the equivalent equation

\[ \text{ArcTan}(u) = \frac{u}{1 + u^2} + \frac{c}{m}. \]

A simple graphical analysis shows that for \( 0 < \frac{c}{m} < \frac{\pi}{2} \) we have only one solution \( u^* > 0 \) that corresponds to \( r^* > 1 \).

Solving the two first equations of (9) we get

\[
I_1(r) = \frac{k_2(s)}{d(s)} r, \quad \rho = \frac{k_1(s)}{d(s)} r,
\]

where \( k_1(s) = (b_1c_6 - b_4c_1) \sin s + (b_1c_5 - b_3c_1) \cos s, \quad k_2(s) = \pi(c_1c_4 - c_3c_6 \sin^2 s - (c_3c_5 + c_2c_6) \cos s - c_2c_5 c_6^2 s) \) and \( d(s) = -b_1c_4 + b_4c_3 \sin^2 s + (b_4c_2 + b_3c_3) \sin s \cos s + b_3c_2 \cos^2 s \).

Substituting in the third equation we obtain

\[
f(s) \frac{d(s)^2}{d(s) r^2} r^2 = 0,
\]

where \( f(s) = (c_3 \pi \cos s - c_2 \pi \sin s)(k_1(s))^2 + (b_4 \cos s - b_3 \sin s)d(s)k_2(s) - b_2k_1(s)k_2(s) + (c_6 \pi \cos s - c_5 \pi \sin s)(d(s))^2 + c_7 \pi d(s)k_1(s) \).

Observe that if \( s^* \) is a solution of \( f(s) = 0 \), then it can be related to a periodic solution of the system (1) only if it satisfies \( d(s^*) \neq 0 \), \( -k_1(s^*) > 0 \) and \( 0 < \frac{k_2(s^*)}{d(s^*)} < \frac{\pi}{2} \). In this case, we apply the Lemma 4 and obtain \( r^* > 1 \). And the second equation of (9) gives \( \rho^* \).

Now let us see the maximum number of solutions to the equation \( f(s) = 0 \). Substituting \( \cos s = x \) and \( \sin s = \sqrt{1 - x^2} \) in \( f(s) = 0 \) we get

\[
(\delta_1 x + \delta_2 x^3) + (\delta_3 + \delta_4 x^2) \sqrt{1 - x^2} = 0,
\]

and substituting \( \cos s = x \) and \( \sin s = -\sqrt{1 - x^2} \) in \( f(s) = 0 \) we get

\[
(\delta_1 x + \delta_2 x^3) - (\delta_3 + \delta_4 x^2) \sqrt{1 - x^2} = 0.
\]
The equations (10) and (11) are equivalent to

\[(\delta_1 x + \delta_2 x^3)^2 - (\delta_3 + \delta_4 x^2)^2 (1 - x^2) = 0,\]

which has degree six. Observe that the solutions appear in pairs \(\{x_0, -x_0\}\). So if \(s^*\) is a solution then \(s^* + \pi\) also is.

The functions \(f(s), d(s), k_1(s)\) and \(k_2(s)\) have the properties \(f(s + \pi) = -f(s)\), \(d(s + \pi) = d(s)\), \(k_1(s + \pi) = -k_1(s)\) and \(k_2(s + \pi) = k_2(s)\). So we have

\[
\frac{k_1(s^*)}{d(s^*)} > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{k_1(s^* + \pi)}{d(s^* + \pi)} < 0.
\]

We conclude that the maximum number of limit cycles for (1), up to first order expansion of the displacement function, is three.

In order to show that there exists a specific perturbation that gives exactly three solutions we consider the values

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= -13, & a_{12} &= 0, & a_{13} &= 0, & a_{14} &= 1886, & b_1 &= 1, \\
    a_{21} &= 0.29, & a_{22} &= 0, & a_{23} &= 0, & a_{24} &= 0, & b_2 &= 0, \\
    a_{31} &= -0.006, & a_{32} &= 0.02, & a_{33} &= 1, & a_{34} &= 0, & b_3 &= 0, \\
    a_{41} &= 0, & a_{42} &= 0, & a_{43} &= 0, & a_{44} &= 0, & b_4 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Computing the six solutions of \(f(s) = 0\) we get \(\{0, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi, \frac{5\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\}\). And the values of \(d(s), k_1(s)\) and \(k_2(s)\) are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(s^*)</th>
<th>(d(s^*))</th>
<th>(k_1(s^*))</th>
<th>(k_2(s^*))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.0068</td>
<td>-13\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\pi}{4})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-4.87\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\pi}{2})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.43\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi)</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>-13\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{5\pi}{4})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-4.87\pi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{3\pi}{2})</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.43\pi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three solutions \(s^*\) that satisfy \(\frac{k_1(s^*)}{d(s^*)} > 0\) and \(\frac{k_2(s^*)}{d(s^*)} > 0\) are \(\{0, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\}\).

The values of solutions \(s^*, r^*\) and \(\rho^*\) and the value of the Jacobian at the solution \(Jh(r^*, \rho^*, s^*)\) are given in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(s^*)</th>
<th>(r^*)</th>
<th>(\rho^*)</th>
<th>(Jh(r^<em>, \rho^</em>, s^*))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.53</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>-996.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\pi}{4})</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\frac{\pi}{2})</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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